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## Phase 3 OAKS and DERBY trials: Design and key criteria

## Patients with GA secondary to AMD <br> 1258 patients at 232 sites combined



## Key inclusion criteria

- Age $\geq 60$ years
- BCVA $\geq 24$ letters ETDRS (20/320 Snellen equivalent)
- GA lesion requirements:
- Total size: $\geq 2.5$ and $\leq 17.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$; if multifocal, at least one focal lesion must be $\geq 1.25 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ ( 0.5 DA )
- Presence of perilesional hyperautofluorescence
- GA lesions with or without subfoveal involvement allowed


## Key exclusion criteria

- GA secondary to a condition other than AMD, such as Stargardt disease, in either eye
- CNV in the study eye (active or history of), including presence of RPE tear (assessed by reading center)


## Pegcetacoplan reduced GA lesion growth

MMRM analysis (primary)


LS means estimated from a mixed-effects model for repeated measures (MMRM) with fixed effects of study, treatment, time, treatment x time interaction, baseline GA lesion area strata, fellow eye CNV, and baseline GA lesion strata $\times$ time interaction.

## Piecewise linear slope analysis (post hoc)



LS means estimated from a piecewise linear mixed-effects model that evaluated mean rate of change in GA area between pegcetacoplan arms and sham arm from baseline to Month 24, with knots at Months 6,12 \& 18 allowing for the slope to be linear over each of the 6 -month segments but to differ between segments (piecewise slope analysis).

## OAKS and DERBY combined

Increasing treatment effect over time


## Treatment effect on GA lesion growth across subgroups



OAKS and DERBY combined

## Adverse events of interest at 24 months

|  | EXUDATIVE AMD* |
| :---: | :---: |
| PM <br> $(\mathrm{n}=419)$ | $12 \%$ |
| PEOM <br> $(\mathrm{n}=420)$ | $7 \%$ |
| Sham <br> $(\mathrm{n}=417)$ | $3 \%$ |

## INTRAOCULAR INFLAMMATION

28 cases out of 11,736 pegcetacoplan injections

### 0.24\% per injection

No events of occlusive vasculitis or retinitis were reported

| OPTIC ISCHAEMIC NEUROPATHY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SAEs | AEs | Total rate |
| PM <br> $(\mathrm{n}=419)$ | 3 | 4 | $1.7 \%$ |
| PEOM <br> $(\mathrm{n}=420)$ | 0 | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Sham <br> $(\mathrm{n}=417)$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |

- All cases were evaluated by neuro-ophthalmologists
- All patients with OIN had discs at risk and multiple systemic risk factors

Post hoc analysis of OAKS and DERBY:

## Quartile analysis of GA lesion growth over 24 months

Schematic representation of progression


Is pegcetacoplan treatment associated with a shift in distribution of patients into slower progressing quartiles?

## Post hoc analysis: Methods and quartile definitions

## GA progression measured by change in lesion area ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) from baseline to Month 24

- GA progression by quartiles of growth assessed in the overall patient population
- Patients needed to have a Month 24 lesion growth measurement to be included in the analysis
- Total $\mathrm{n}=1000$; 250 per quartile

| Lesion growth <br> quartiles | Growth over 2 years <br> $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quartile 1 <br> slowest progressors | $\leq 2.08$ |
| Quartile 2 | $>2.08-\leq 3.13$ |
| Quartile 3 | $>3.13-\leq 4.53$ |
| Quartile 4 <br> fastest progressors | $>4.53$ |

## Distribution of patients by study arm across quartiles reflects efficacy of pegcetacoplan at 24 months



PM difference vs sham in fast progressors

Q4 fast progressors

PEOM

## Distribution of patients by study arm across quartiles reflects efficacy of pegcetacoplan at 24 months

OAKS and DERBY combined
OAKS and DERBY combined


PM
PEOM

Sham pooled

## Example of GA lesion growth of $1.15 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ on FAF



FAF=fundus autofluorescence.

## Amount of retina tissue preserved $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ with pegcetacoplan treatment

OAKS and DERBY combined


## Retinal tissue and RPE cells preserved* with pegcetacoplan

|  | OAKS and DERBY combined |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pegcetacoplan monthly ( $\mathrm{n}=403$ ) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pegcetacoplan EOM } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=406) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6-month intervals | Retinal tissue saved ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) | RPE cells saved | $\text { t } 24 \text { months }$ | Retinal tissue saved ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) | RPE cells saved |
| 0-6 months | 0.14 | 700-1100 | monthly | 0.12 | 600-900 |
| 6-12 months | 0.20 | 1000-1500 |  | 0.15 | 800-1200 |
| 12-18 months | 0.19 | 1000-1500 | CELLS SAVE at 24 months | 0.16 | 800-1200 |
| 18-24 months | 0.30 | 1500-2300 | EO | 0.26 | 1300-2000 |
| Total over 24M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.82 | 4200-6300 | *Estimated based on macular RPE density ${ }^{1}$ range of | 0.69 | 3500-5300 |

OAKS and DERBY combined / prespecified analysis Reductions in GA lesion growth by lesion location

OAKS DERBY 24 Months


[^0]OAKS and DERBY combined
Cumulative preservation of retinal tissue in nonsubfoveal lesions


## Retinal tissue and RPE cells preserved* with pegcetacoplan: Nonsubfoveal subgroup

OAKS and DERBY combined

|  | Pegcetacoplan monthly ( $\mathrm{n}=158$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6-month intervals | Retinal tissue saved ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) | RPE cells saved |
| 0-6 months | 0.30 | 1500-2300 |
| 6-12 months | 0.34 | 1700-2600 |
| 12-18 months | 0.35 | 1800-2700 |
| 18-24 months | 0.32 | 1600-2500 |
| Total over 24Ma | 1.30 | 6600-10,000 |


| 6600-10,000 RPE CELLS SAVED* at 24 months with pegcetacoplan | Pegcetacoplan EOM ( $\mathrm{n}=155$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retinal tissue saved (mm²) | RPE cells saved |
|  | 0.24 | 1200-1900 |
|  | 0.35 | 1800-2700 |
|  | 0.21 | 1100-1600 |
|  | 0.32 | 1600-2500 |
|  | 1.11 | 5600-8600 |

## Functional data by lesion distance from the foveal center

- Subgroups: $\geq 250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $<250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the foveal center
- Data Source: AI-based automated segmentation of RPE loss from OAKS and DERBY patients with Spectralis (Heidelberg) OCT Images ( $\sim 75 \%$ total sample size)
- Model specification and baseline covariate selection were done a priori based on clinical rationale ${ }^{1,2}$ : demographics, study eye characteristics (including foveal occupancy of regions 1-5), and fellow eye characteristics

BCVA is correlated with the proportion of the fovea (ETDRS regions 1-5) occupied by GA lesion


## Pegcetacoplan was associated with slower vision loss and better quality of life in patients with lesions $\mathbf{\geq 2 5 0 \mu m}$ away from the foveal center

OAKS DERBY

Baseline BCVA: PEG 73 and Sham 75 (~20/32 Snellen)

## BCVA change from baseline to Month 24



## Overall trends in BCVA and VFQ-25 change over time were similar across treated and sham patients with lesions closer to foveal center ( $<250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )

 24 Months
## Baseline BCVA: PEG 56 and Sham 55 (~20/80 Snellen)

BCVA change from baseline to Month 24



## Conclusions - Subgroup analyses of functional data

- Over 24 months, in patients with lesions further from foveal center:
- Pegcetacoplan slowed vision loss versus sham (nearly 6 fewer letters lost)
- Pegcetacoplan-treated patients reported better quality of life than sham-treated patients (4 points higher)
- A VFQ-25 composite difference of 4-6 points is considered clinically meaningful in neovascular AMD ${ }^{1}$
- Limitations
- RPE-loss data was not available for patients with Cirrus (Zeiss) OCT images
- Baseline characteristics of patients with Spectralis and Cirrus OCT images were similar
- Post hoc analysis
- Pegcetacoplan is the first and only FDA-approved treatment for GA secondary to AMD
- Pegcetacoplan slows GA progression with both monthly and every other month dosing, with effects increasing over time
- Treatment benefit demonstrated across all pre-specified subgroups
- In the quartile analysis, Quartile 1 (slow progressors) had a higher proportion of patients from PM and PEOM arms versus sham. Conversely, Quartile 4 (fast progressors) had a higher proportion of sham patients than PM or PEOM
- Based on the area of retinal tissue preserved, between 3500-10,000 RPE are saved with 2 years of treatment, which corresponds with a much larger number of PR cells saved.
- Pegcetacoplan demonstrated visual function and quality of life benefits vs sham in patients with lesions further from the fovea


[^0]:    LS means estimated from a mixed-effects model for repeated measures. The modified intention-to-treat population was used for the analysis, defined as all randomized patients who received at least 1 injection of pegcetacoplan or sham and have baseline and at least 1 post-baseline value of GA lesion area in the study eye

